

CCASSC Action Minutes December 8 -9, 2016

1. Director's Survey discussed

Action: Future locations and dates identified as follows 2/16-17 (Coast), 5/18-19 and September 21-22 (location TBD), December 14-15 (Coast) . It was decided that each year meeting locations will be rotated between the Coast (2 meetings) and other Counties (2 meetings).

Action: A task group was formed to work on restructuring the University report-outs at each meeting. It was agreed that these reports would be more helpful if they were topic-driven. Task group members will offer recommendations (Patty, David, Juliet, Kristin, Chevron).

2. CCASSC Business

An ongoing method of replacing the \$11,500 budget reduction caused by Santa Barbara County's departure from the group was discussed.

Action: A pro-rated sharing of Santa Barbara County's dues was decided on by the group. This was passed by unanimous vote.

Action: David will prepare the final 2017 CCASSC budget for review at next meeting.

3. CCR/RITE planning discussion led by Patty. Input collected to share with CDSS (Theresa Turmend) for the upcoming CCR/RITE convening.

Action: Patty will provide the CCASSC input to CDSS.

Action: Tulare County announced they have a well-developed CCR Practice Manual that they are using. They will share with all members.

4. It was agreed that use of "Dropbox" would enhance CCASSC communication.

Action: Stephanie will pursue.

5. Patty presented on "Central Region General Assistance/General Relief Program Trends and Needs".

Action: Patty still needs some information from counties to complete a GR Matrix for the region. Send your GR/GA program contact information to Patty.

6. The Center for States report was reviewed and discussed. It was agreed that this would be a good topical item for discussion at a future CCASSC meeting. Items for discussion:
 - A) How to improve education so grads are “turn-key” ready when hired.
 - B) The possibility of forming a County/University Workforce Development Task Group to identify ongoing workforce needs and solutions.
 - C) Development of a “Survey-Monkey” instrument to better identify evaluation needs of agencies and match research/evaluation interests of faculty/potential areas of mutual benefit.

7. David provided a summary of each County’s annual training plan (ATP) for discussion. Formatting problem prevented discussion.

Action: David will revise and re-distribute at next meeting.