California State University, Fresno

College of Health and Human Services

Communicative Sciences and Deaf Studies, MA

Speech-Language Pathology Graduate Program

Department/Program Assessment Coordinator (i): Brooke Findley, Ed.D. CCC-SLP, BCBA

Student Outcomes Assessment Plan (SOAP)

I. Mission Statement

The Mission of the Department of Communicative Sciences and Deaf Studies at California State University, Fresno is to disseminate knowledge and to train professionals who will provide quality service to the public. The department will accomplish this mission by providing a stimulating learning environment for enhancing personal and educational development, promoting an understanding of people of various cultures, and offering opportunities for research and scholarship in Communicative Sciences and Deaf Studies.

I. Institutional Learning Outcomes, Program Learning Outcomes/Goals, and SLOs [a,b,c]

- A. Institutional Learning Outcomes. Fresno State ILOs are posted on the following webpage: <u>http://fresnostate.edu/academics/oie/assessment/fresno-state-assessment.html.</u> They include:
 - 1. Developing a foundational, broad, and integrative knowledge
 - 2. Acquiring specialized knowledge
 - 3. Improving intellectual knowledge
 - 4. Applying knowledge
 - 5. Exemplifying equity, ethics, and engagement
- B. Program Learning Outcomes and SLOs
 - 1. PLO: Read, understand, and apply research literature to strengthen clinical practice.
 - 1. SLO: Students will understand the scientific method, describe major research designs, and apply basic measurement techniques in formal projects, or in clinical or education applications.
 - 2. SLO: Students will integrate speech-language pathology research with client perspectives and clinical expertise to help inform the selection of evidence-based practices.
 - 2. PLO: Demonstrate professional communication skills.
 - 1. SLO: Students will write using appropriate mechanics and produce well-organized papers using current American Psychological Association (APA) guidelines (American Psychological Association, 2019).

- 2. SLO: Students will use effective communication skills to establish and maintain good relationships with clients, students, parents, other professionals, administrators, and school personnel.
- 3. PLO: Understand and apply foundational information in anatomical, physiological, neurological, psychological, and sociological aspects of human communication.
 - 1. SLO: Students will understand and apply knowledge related to anatomy and physiology of speech, language, and hearing to their work with clients who demonstrate communicative disorders.
 - 2. SLO: Students will demonstrate knowledge of the behavioral, linguistic, academic, social, and psychological implications of communication disorders.
- 4. PLO: Assess an individual's ability or performance and appropriately interpret and apply this information.
 - 1. SLO: Students will appropriately select, administer, and interpret the results of formal and informal assessments of speech, language, hearing, and/or other communicative needs.
- 5. PLO: Plan, implement, evaluate, and modify educational or clinical interventions across a wide range of students and clients.
 - 1. SLO: Students will understand the philosophies underlying current intervention and education methods.
 - 2. SLO: Students will competently plan, apply, and modify educational or clinical intervention strategies based on assessment results and/or analysis of ongoing collection of internal data.
- 6. PLO: Appreciate, understand, and apply concepts related to cultural and linguistic diversity.
 - 1. SLO: Students will demonstrate an understanding of the concepts of diversity, equity, and inclusion as they relate to the practice of speech-language pathology.
 - 2. SLO: Students will select and implement appropriate assessment and treatment approaches for clients from a variety of culturally, linguistically, and socially diverse populations.

II. Curriculum Map [d]: Courses in which SLOs are addressed and evaluated

	SLO	SLO	SLO	SLO	SLO	SLO	SLO	SLO	SLO	SLO	SLO
	1.1	1.2	2.1	2.2	3.1	3.2	4.1	5.1	5.2	6.1	6.2
CSDS	I, D,	I, D	I, D,								
200	Μ	1, 0	Μ								
CSDS		D			I, D	I, D	I, D				
202		D			1, D	1, D	1, D	I, D	I, D		
CSDS		D									
204		D			I, D						
CSDS		5									
207		D			I, D						
2											

	SLO 1.1	SLO 1.2	SLO 2.1	SLO 2.2	SLO 3.1	SLO 3.2	SLO 4.1	SLO 5.1	SLO 5.2	SLO 6.1	SLO 6.2
CSDS 209								D	D		
CSDS 210		D			I, D						
CSDS 213		D	D		I, D						
CSDS 214		D	D		I, D	I	I				
CSDS 215		D			I, D						
CSDS 216		D	D		I, D		I				
CSDS 218		D	D		I, D		I				
CSDS 220		D	D		I, D						
CSDS 221		D			D	D	D	D	D		
CSDS 230		D		I, D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
CSDS 257		М		М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М
CSDS 267		М		М	М	М	М	М	М	М	М
CSDS 299	Only		le if stude LOs addre				-	-		h cases,	, the

Key: I = Introduced D = Developed M = Mastered

Assessment	Evaluation	SLO										
Measure	Method	1.1	1.2	2.1	2.2	3.1	3.2	4.1	5.1	5.2	6.1	6.2
Graduate Writing Requirement	Score	x		x								
Clinical Evaluations	Score		х		х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х
Comprehensive Examination Results	Score		x			х	x	х	х	x	х	x
PRAXIS Results	Score		Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Exit Survey	Score					Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Employer Survey	Score		х		х		х	х	х	х	х	х
Advisory Committee	Focus Group		x		х		x	х	х	x	х	x

III. SLOs Mapped Assessment Measures and Methods [e]

IV. Assessment Measures: Description of Assignment and Method (rubric, criteria, etc.) used to evaluate the assignment [f]

- A. Direct Measures (Department/Program must use a minimum of three different direct measures)
 - Graduate Writing Requirement Results. Students meet the graduate writing requirement through passing a spontaneous essay and successful completion of a written research proposal. Major reasons for fails will be noted for program review. At least 80% of students will pass the graduate-level writing requirement on their first attempt.
 - Clinic Evaluation. Each semester, supervisors complete an evaluation of students under their supervision. Those items reflecting on student performance will be averaged (per area) and tracked across semesters. At least 80% of students will score at or above "present (3)" for clinical practicum evaluations when their scores on applicable items are averaged (See Appendix A: CALIPSO Evaluation).
 - 3. Comprehensive Examination Results. Percent of students passing, passing in oral examinations, and failing the comprehensive examination will be tracked each academic year. At least 80% of students will pass the comprehensive examinations in each area.
 - PRAXIS Results. The number of graduate students passing or not passing the PRAXIS Examination in Speech-Language Pathology will be summed for the academic year. At least 80% of graduates taking the PRAXIS will pass.

- B. Indirect Measures (Department/Program must use a minimum of one indirect measure)
 - Exit Survey. Graduate students in their final semester will be asked to complete an online Graduate Student Exit Survey (See Appendix B: Graduate Student Exit Survey). Should at least 80% of students indicate that they "agree" or "strongly agree" with relevant items on the exit survey, the individual SLOs mapped to this indirect measure shall be considered met.
 - 2. Employer Survey. An online employer survey will be sent to school districts, hospitals, private practices, and other entities that are known to employ our graduates. We will reach out to them using our department database, as well as social media contacts. Should at least an average rating of "good" on applicable items of the employer survey be reported, the SLOs aligned with this indirect measure will be considered met (See Appendix C: Employer Survey).
 - 3. Minutes of Advisory Committee. Selected professionals from surrounding region are members of the Speech-Language Pathology Advisory Committee. The Speech-Language Pathology committee meets to provide feedback regarding program development in the Department. The minutes of this committee's meeting will be analyzed and areas of strength or needed change will be noted and summarized. While no quantitative target is set, it is expected that themes from this measurement tool largely indicate that our students are effectively prepared to enter the workforce (See Appendix D for a list of questions that will be proposed during the Speech-Language Pathology Advisory Committee Meeting).

Academic Year	Measure	SLO 1.1	SLO 1.2	SLO 2.1	SLO 2.2	SLO 3.1	SLO 3.2	SLO 4.1	SLO 5.1	SLO 5.2	SLO 6.1	SLO 6.2
2021-2022	Advisory Committee Graduate Writing Requirement Clinical Evaluations	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
2022-2023	Clinical Evaluations COMPS Results		x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
2023-2024	COMPS Results PRAXIS Results		x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x
2024-2025	PRAXIS Results Exit Survey		x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x
2025-2026	Graduate Writing Requirement	x	x	х	x		x	x	x	x	x	x

V. Assessment Schedule/Timeline [g]

Academic Year	Measure	SLO 1.1	SLO 1.2	SLO 2.1	SLO 2.2	SLO 3.1	SLO 3.2	SLO 4.1	SLO 5.1	SLO 5.2	SLO 6.1	SLO 6.2
	Exit Survey Employer Survey											
2026-2027	Employer Survey Advisory Committee		x		x		x	x	x	x	x	x
2027-2028	Graduate Writing Requirement COMPS Results	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x
2028-2029	Graduate Writing Requirement Clinical Evaluations	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
2029-2030	Clinical Evaluations COMPS Results		x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x

VI. Closing the Loop [h,j,k]

Fresno State Closing the Loop process is described immediately below.

A major assessment report, which focuses on assessment activities carried out the previous academic year, is submitted in September of each academic year and evaluated by the Learning Assessment Team and Director of Assessment at Fresno State.

Program/Department Closing the Loop process: Data are collected and analyzed according to the implementation schedule above. This information is then used to write a report, "Summary of Outcome Assessment Results," for the academic year in which the data are collected. Shortly after the report is complied, it is presented to and reviewed by the departmental faculty. The findings are discussed and an action plan may be decided upon, as appropriate. If it is decided that an action needs to be taken or a change needs to be made, responsibilities are assigned. It is then up to the assessment coordinator to follow up on any actions or changes in terms of additional data collected in subsequent years. Examples of summary assessment result reports will clearly identify actions that have been taken and are available upon request.

VIII. List of Appendices

- Appendix A: Sample CALIPSO Evaluation
- Appendix B: Graduate Student Exit Survey
- Appendix C: Employer Survey
- Appendix D: Questions to be Presented at the Speech-Language Pathology Advisory Committee Meeting

FRESN@ STATE BECOVERY Distinction

covery. Unversity, Listinction.

Performance Rating Scale 1 - Early Emerging 4 - Developing Mastery

2 - Emerging 5 - Independent

3 - Present

- I	Severity of Disorders (check		Interprofessional (or collaborative) practice (IPP)	practice (IPP		Client(s)/Pai	Client(s)/Patient(s) Multicultural Aspects	ultural Aspects		Client(s)/Patient(s) Linguistic Diversity	t(s) Linguistic D	iversity
\sim	X Within Normal Limits		Audiologist		\times	X Bhnicity			\boxtimes	X English		
	Mild		Dentist			Race			\times	X English Language Learner	ge Learner	
	Moderate		Dietitian		X	Culture				Primary English dialect	dialect	
	Severe		Family Member		×	X National origin	gin		×	X Secondary English dialect	ish dialect	
		\times	🗙 Nurse/Nurse Practitioner			Socioe conomic status	mic status		\boxtimes	🗙 Bilingual		
			Occupational Therapist			Gender identity	tity			Polyglot		
		Х	Pharmacist			Sexual orientation	station			Gender identity		
			Physical Therapist			Religion				Sign Language (ASL or SEE)	(ASL or SEE)	
			Physician			Exceptionality	ity			Cognitive / Physical Ability	sical Ability	
		\times	Physician Assistant			□ Other				Other		
			Psychologist/School Psychologist									
			Recreational Therapist									
			Respiratory Therapist									
			Social Worker									
		X	🗙 Special Educator									
			Teacher (classroom, ESL, resource, etc.)	, etc.)								
			Vocational Rehabilitation Counselor	or .								
		\times	Other									
	Evaluation Skills			Speech Sound Production	Fluency	Voice	Language	Hearing	Swallowing	ing Cognition	Social Aspects	AAC
	1. Conducts screening and prevention procedures (sid IV-D, std V-B, 1a)	locod	dures (std IV-D, std V-B, 1a)		5.00							

3.00 4.00 5.00 Possesses knowledge of eiologies and characteristics for each communication and swallowing disorder (std IV-C) Adapts evaluation procedures to meet client/patient needs (skd V-B, 1d) Selects appropriate evaluation instruments/procedures (std V-B, 1c) Collects case history information and integrates information from clients/patients and/or relevant others (std V-B, 1b) Administers and scores diagnostic tests correctly (std V-B, lc)

Page 1/3 * May 01 2020 * Cumulative Evaluation

Appendix A

Sample CALIPSO Evaluation

CALIPSO Cumulative Evaluation Doe, Jane

Young Child (0-5) Child (6-17) Adult (18-64) Older adult (65+)

 $\boxtimes \boxtimes \boxtimes \Box$

Evaluation Skilk	Speech Sound Production	Fluency	Voice	Language	Hearing	Swallowing	Cognition	Social Aspects	AAC
 Interprets, integrates, and synthesizes test results, history, and other behavioral observations to develop diagnoses (sid V-B, 1c) 									
8. Makes appropriate recommendations for intervention (std V-B, 1c)									
 Completes administrative and reporting functions necessary to support evaluation (sid V-B, 1f) 				5.00		5.00			
10. Refers clients/patients for appropriate services (std V-B, 1g)		5.00							5.00
Number of items scored: 8 Number of items remaining:	82 S	Section Average:	s: 4,62						
The atment Skills	Speech Sound Production	Fluency	Voice	Language	Hearing	Swallowing	Cognition	Social Aspects	WC
 Develops setting-appropriate intervention plans with measurable and achievable goals. Collaborates with chemic/patients and relevant others in the planning process (std V.B, 2a, std 3.1.1B) 									
 Implements intervention plans (involves clients/patients and relevant others in the intervention process) (std V-B, 2b, std 3.1.1B) 									
3. Selects or develops and uses appropriate materials/instrumentation (std V-B, 2c)									
4. Sequences tasks to meet objectives									
5. Provides appropriate introduction/explanation of tasks	2.00	4.00	5.00	5.00					
6. Measures and evaluates clients/patients' performance and progress (sid V-B, 2d)									
7. Uses appropriate reinforcement, corrective feedback, models, cues, and prompts									
 Modifies intervention plans, strategies, materials, or instrumentation to meet individual client/parient needs (sdd V-B, 2e) 									
 Completes administrative and reporting functions necessary to support intervention (sid V-B.21) 				5.00	5.00		5.00	5.00	
10. Identifies and refers patients for services as appropriate (std V-B, 2g)									4.00
Number of items scored: 9 Number of items remaining:	81	Section Average:	: 4,4	1					
Professional Practice, Interaction and Personal Qualities									Score
1. Demonstrates knowledge of and interdependence of communication and swallowing processes (std IV-B, std 3.1 AB)	ving processes (s	d IV-B, std 3.1	(B)						
2. Uses clinical reasoning and demonstrates knowledge of and ability to integrate research principles into evidence-based clinical practice (std IV-F, std 3.1.1B)	esearch principle	s into evidence	based clinical p	ractice (std IV-)	F, std 3.1.1B)				
 Adheses to federal, state, and institutional regulations and demonstrates know ledge of contemporary professional issues and advocacy (includes trends in best professional practices, privacy policies, models of delivery, and neinbursement procedures/fiduciary negoonsibilities) (ad IV-G, std 3.1.1B, 3.1.6B, 3.8B) 	ge of contempor 13.1.1B, 3.1.6B,	ary professiona 3.8B)	lissues and adv	ocacy (includes	trends in best p	oro fes sion al prac	ctices, privacy p	odicies , models	
4. Communicates effectively, recognizing the needs, values, preferred mode of communication, and cultural/linguistic background of the patient, family, caregiver, and relevant others (sid V-B, 3a, sid 3.1.1B)	umunication, and	cultural/linguis	tic background	of the patient, f	amily, caregive	r, and relevant of	thers (std V-B,	3a, std 3.1.1B)	
5. Establishes tapport and shows care, compassion, and appropriate emputity during interactions with clients/patients and relevant others (std 3.1. IB)	g interactions wit	h clients/patien	ts and relevant c	thers (std 3.1.1	B)				
6. Uses appropriate rate, pitch, and volume when interacting with patients or others									
7. Provides courseling regarding communication and swallowing disorders to clients/patients, family, caregivers, and relevant others (std V-B, 3c, std 3.1,6B)	ds/patients, famil	y, caregivers, at	nd relevant othe	rs (std V-B, 3c,	std 3.1.6B)				
8. Collaborates with other professionals in case management (std V-B, 3b, std 3.1.1B, 3.1.6B)	IB, 3.1.6B)								
9. Displays effective oral communication with patient, family, or other professionals (std V-A, std 3.1.1B)	ls (std V-A, std 3	.1.1B)							
10. Displays effective written communication for all professional correspondence (std V-A, std 3.1.1B)	std V-A, std 3.1.	(B)							
11. Adheres to the ASHA Code of Ethics and Scope of Practice documents and conducts him or herself in a professional, ethical manner (std IV-E, V-B, 3d, std 3.1.1B, 3.1.6B)	oducts him or her	self in a profes:	sional, ethical m	anner (std IV-E	i, V-B, 3d, std 3	8.1.1B, 3.1.6B)			

Page 2/3 * May 01 2020 * Cumulative Evaluation

Professional Practice, Interaction and Personal Qualities	Score
12. Demonstrates professionalism (std 3.1.1B, 3.1.6B)	
13. Displays organization and preparedness for all clinical sessions	
14. Seeks clarification when in doubt	
15. Improves and learns as a result of experience and supervisory suggestions	
16. Complies with on-site clinical procedures	
17. Generates multiple evaluation instruments/proceedures (formul and/or informal)that would be appropriate for a given client.	
18. Makes appropriate prognosis based on assessment results	
19. Incomportates multiple measures to establish reliability of results	
20. Quickly kearts and incorporates new tests or procedures suggested by the supervisor	
21. Uses accurate and appropriate grammar, form, style and spelling in written reports	
22. Exhibits effective behavior management	
23. Effectively manages treatment confingencies	
24. Maintains accurate and detailed progress notes	3.00
Number of items scored: 1 Number of items remaining: 23 Soction Average: 3.00	

Appendix **B**

Graduate Student Exit Survey

Identify your major: Deaf Education Speech-Language Pathology

Rate each of the following statements:

0 = no opinion 1 = strongly disagree 2 = disagree 3 = agree 4 = strongly agree

- 1. Advising was helpful.
- 2. My undergraduate studies and learning experiences at CSUF prepared me for graduate school.
 ➢ If you did not attend CSUF for your undergraduate studies, please select "0"
- 3. My graduate classes and learning experiences prepared me for my internships, externships, and/or student teaching.
- 4. I feel prepared to communicate with parents, clients, students, and other professionals.
- 5. I am confident in my abilities to assess a client's or student's communication abilities.
- 6. I am confident in my abilities to plan and implement educational or clinical interventions across a wide range of students or clients.
- 7. I am comfortable locating, reading, and evaluating professional literature (e.g., peer reviewed journals) in my field of study.
- 8. I appreciate, understand, and productively apply multicultural information when assessing or treating/teaching clients or students.
- 9. I am planning to pursue a doctoral degree.

Narrative Questions:

- 1. For which areas of your field do you feel most prepared?
- 2. In which areas of your field do you feel you are lacking skills?
- 3. What type of setting are you planning to work in now that you have completed the program?

Appendix C

Employer Evaluation of Program

Dear Employer: Our records show that your employee, ______, took courses in the Dept. of Communicative Sciences and Deaf Studies. As a requirement of our accreditation process, this evaluation is being sent to employers of our graduates to secure data which will help us evaluate and improve our program. The results will be confidential, and in any reporting of data, your employee's name will not be used. Please rate on the scale below. Thank you in advance for your assistance.

			Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A
1.		n Treatment/Teaching Ability to develop a treatment or ational program appropriate to client's or student's needs.					
2.	Skill i	n Management of Behavior (maintain on-task behavior.)					
3.	obse	n Assessment (assessment is ongoing in nature, using rvational, recorded, standardized and non-standardized surement procedures and techniques.					
	a.	Knowledge and implementation of diagnostic tools available.					
	b.	Adequate knowledge of specific characteristics of type of population served.					
4.	Skill i	n Reporting (written reports pertinent and accurate.)					
5.	Orgar	nizational Skills					
6.	Ability	y to communicate with families.					
7.		y to communicate with colleagues and other disciplines ofessional level.					
8.	Ability	y to communicate with clients or students.					
9. and li		redness to effectively serve clients or students from culturally ally diverse backgrounds.					
Over	all, how	would you rate the quality of service rendered by this person?					
What	is the nu	mber of clients or students presently served by this person?					

Appendix D

Questions to be Presented at the Advisory Committee Meeting

Please take minutes during the Speech-Language Pathology Advisory Committee Meeting.

The advisory committee meeting is meant to be an opportunity for open discussion regarding the strengths and limitations of our graduate program in Speech-Language Pathology, the quality of services being performed by our graduates, and the degree to which we are able to meet the needs of our community. Please feel free to comment on any areas that you feel are important. In addition, at some point during the meeting, please propose the following questions for discussion:

- 1. How do you feel about our past students' understanding and ability to apply foundational information in anatomical, physiological, neurological, psychological, and sociological aspects of human communication?
- 2. What do you feel are the strengths and/or weaknesses regarding the assessment and treatment skills being performed by our alumni out in the community? Do you feel they are prepared to work with a wide range of students and/or clients?
- 3. How well do our past students develop professional relationships with clients/students, caregivers, family members, and other professionals?
- 4. Do our past students appreciate, understand, and productively apply knowledge related to cultural and linguistic diversity?